

J Ayu Med \$ci | 2019 | Vol 4 | Issue 2 (Apr – Jun)

J Ayu Med Sci

Quarterly Journal for Rapid Publication of Researches in Ayurveda and Other Traditional Medicines

Sponsored by

Ayushpathi Inter<u>national</u>

Journal of Ayurveda Medical Sciences

I\$\$N 2456-4990

www.jayumedsci.com
©Journal of Ayurveda Medical Sciences

Rasapanchakas Assessment of Seeds of a Folk Plant - Gnetum ula Brongn.

Ramya Krishna Koliyat Valappil¹, Tandrady Shridhara Bairy², Koppala Narayana Sunil Kumar³, Sudhakar⁴

ABSTRACT

Background: Ayurveda opines that every plant has its own medicinal values, but there are many less explored plants which are not popular though beneficial either as food or as medicine. But this knowledge is passed from tradition to tradition in folklore practices but within a few groups of a society. One such plant from gymnosperm group is Gnetum ula Brongn. (Gnetaceae) found commonly in and around Udupi. Locally known as kumti beeja. Seeds are roasted or boiled and consumed as it is said to be very nutritious and having krimighna action by folk practitioners. The present work aimed in such less explored plants for its assessment of Rasapanchakas. Methods: The study conducted was to assess the rasapanchakas of seeds of G.ula in which Rasa was assessed by healthy volunteers of sample size 10 and giving scores. Assessement of guna, and vipaka at the level of dosha, dhatu and mala by using animal model and by the experience documented by the folk lore practioners. Assssment of virya by exothermic, endothermic reactions in distilled water. Results: Study revealed seeds of G. ula having, kashaya as pradhana rasa, anurasa is madhura -tikta, guna is guru, ruksha and ushna. Virya is ushna and vipaka is katu. Conclusion: Folklore medicine has tremendous source of information regarding the utility of locally available plants for both as food and as medicines. Such plants have to be properly explored and scientifically documented before putting it into use.

KEYWORDS Kumti beeja, Gnetum ula., Rasapanchakas, Rasa, Guna, Virya, Vipaka.

PICTORIAL ABSTRACT



ARTICLE HISTORY Received 01.02.2021; **Revised** 23.04.2021; **Accepted** 10-05-2021

CORRESPONDENCE Ramya Krishna K.V, Government Ayurveda College, Pariyaram 670503, Kannur District, Kerala, INDIA. Email: ramyakrishna037@gmail.com

CITE THIS RESEARCH AS Valappil RKK, Bairy TS, Kumar KNS, Sudhakar. Rasapanchakas Assessment of Seeds of a Folk Plant - Gnetum ula Brongn. J Ayu Med Sci 2019;4(2):494-502.

DOI 10.5530/jams.2019.4.9

1. INTRODUCTION

Human's passion for herbal medicine dates back to Vedic period. The usage of these plants either for food or for medicine was going on since ages. Among them some of them were documented in our classics which describes locally available and often readily accessible sources in the form of *aahara* and *aushadha*.^[1] The usage of *G. ula* seeds by folklore people for edible purposes as per the information documented from Folklore Medicine Research Centre Shree Dharmasthala Manjunatheshwara College of Ayurveda, Udupi. It suggests about the usage of roasted seeds for edible purpose and the seed oil in ailments like Rheumatism.^[2,3] The kingdom

plantae are divided into mainly 2 groups Angiosperms and Gymnosperms. Unlike angiosperms, Gymnosperms are an ancient group of plants, they are naked seeded plants (gymnos-naked; sperma-seed), those in which the seeds are not enclosed within the fruit but are directly borne by the open carpel called megasporophyll (i.e., not enclosed to form the ovary, as in angiosperms). They form an intermediate group between cryptogams and the angiosperms, being related to the higher forms of cryptogams on the one hand and to the lower angiosperms on the other. [4] In the family Gnetaceae, the sole genus Gnetum which includes many species of woody trees, shrubs or even climbers. [5] And in India, Gnetum is represented by 5 species. [6]

¹Department of Dravyaguna, Government Ayurveda College, Kannur, Pariyaram - 670503, Kerala, INDIA.

²Department of PG Studies in Dravyaguna, SDM College of Ayurveda, Kuthpady, Udupi - 574118, Karnataka, INDIA.

³Research officer Scientist 1-Pharmacognosy, Central Council for Research in Siddha, Ministry of AYUSH, Chennai 600106, Tamil Nadu, INDIA.

⁴SDM Centre for Research in Ayurveda and Allied Sciences, Kuthpady, Udupi - 574118, Karnataka, INDIA.

Science which deals with *Dravya*, *Guna* and *Karma* of substances that promote longevity and unfavourable substances that hamper longevity may be termed as *Dravyaguna shastra*.^[7] Today the branch *Dravyaguna* is a comprehensive discipline which embraces various aspects of drugs like animal and plant origin, identification, collection, physical and chemical properties, it evaluates the drugs on the basis of their mode of an action, their compatibility, their therapeutic efficacy and toxic effects, if any. Therefore, a scholar of *Dravyaguna* has to be an interdisciplinary.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Assessment of Rasa[8]

Rasa not only perception of taste but is an indicator of the composition, properties and probable drug action of a Dravya. And tongue is the subject of criteria for determining the rasa or anurasa of a drug. The following method for assessment of rasa with tongue was adopted. Healthy volunteers, preferably Ayurvedic students, who may not make mistakes in expressing the rasa they perceive, were selected. They were asked to wash their mouth and five minutes gap was allowed between washing of mouth and tasting of drug. Taste determination of different formulations like kashayam of roasted seed powder, boiled seeds, kashaya of seeds of G. ula was given to these volunteers, chits of paper were given to them and requested to record the rasa and anurasa they perceive. The method adopted was blind method, in which volunteers were not told about the identity of drug. As assessment of rasa is not the same pattern, it depends on the individual, so we have planned to elicit by giving scores. With the help of this scoring identification of rasa and anurasa was done. The test was conducted on a volunteer group of sample size 10, by giving scores as pradhana rasa -4, first anurasa-2, second anurasa-1. The assessment was done using various types of preparations of seeds like fresh, roasted, boiled seeds, fresh and roasted seed kashayam of G. ula.

2.2 Assessment of Vipaka

Vipaka is the transformed state of ingested substance after digestion. It can be ascertained by the action, which produces in the body. These actions have been described at the level of the dosha, dhatu and mala of the body. The changes in the substance may take place at the level of the pachakagni, bhutagni and dhatwagni. Therefore the area of action of vipaka extends from the gastrointestinal tract to the dhatus and cannot be restricted to pachakagni or G.I tract only. After ingestion into the body through any route, any transformation or simplification by any enzymes or hormone in any part of the body is called vipaka. It therefore implies changes in substances during digestion and metabolism. The method that is used in the assessment of vipaka at the level of mala by the criteria mentioned in the text. [8]

2.2 Assessment of Guna

Dravyas act by their *gunas* which inherently reside in them. The assessment of *Guna* is made by considering the physical parameters, the action of the drug after administration in animal model and experience of the local people and folklore practioners who are using the seeds as *ahaara* and *oushadha*.

2.3 Assessment of Virya[8]

5 ml of distilled water is taken in a test tube and the temperature of the water is noted with the help of industrial thermometer, 5 g of roasted seed powder of *G. ula* was added to the test tube and temperature was noted soon after the addition also after 5,10,15 min time interval and observed for an hour. This procedure is repeated for many times to avoid the human errors.

3. OBSERVATION AND RESULT

3.1 Rasa

3.1.1 Fresh seeds

It was observed from the fresh seed powder of *G. ula, kashaya rasa* was noted as *pradhana rasa* by 5 volunteers, 4 volunteers noted as first *anurasa* and 1 volunteer as second *anurasa*. *Madhura rasa* was noted as *pradhana rasa* by 4 volunteers, 2 volunteers as first *anurasa* and 1 volunteer as second *anurasa*. *Tikta rasa* was noted as *pradhana rasa* by 1 volunteer, first *anurasa* by 2 volunteers and second *anurasa* by 3 volunteers. By seeing the total scores of fresh seeds of *G. ula, Kashaya* is *Pradhana rasa*, *Anurasa* is *Madhura*, *Tikta* (Table 1).

3.1.2 Roasted seeds

It was observed from the roasted seed powder of *G. ula, Tikta rasa* was noted as *pradhana rasa* by 5 volunteers, 4 volunteers noted as first *anurasa* and 1 volunteer as second *anurasa*. *Madhura rasa* was noted as *pradhana rasa* by 2 volunteers, 4 volunteers noted as first *anurasa* and no volunteers noted 2 *anurasa*. *Kashaya rasa* was noted as *pradhana rasa* by 3 volunteers, first *anurasa* by 1 volunteer and no volunteer noted as second *anurasa*.

By seeing the total scores of roasted seed powder of *G. ula*, *Tikta* is *Pradhana rasa*, *Anurasa* is *madhura* and *Kashaya* (Table 2).

3.1.3 Boiled seeds

It was observed from the boiled seeds powder of *G. ula, kashaya rasa* was noted as *pradhana rasa* by 5 volunteers, 3 volunteers noted as first *anurasa* and 1 volunteer as second *anurasa*. *Madhura rasa* was noted as *pradhana rasa* by 5 volunteers, 3 volunteers noted as first *anurasa* and 1 volunteer noted as second *anurasa*. *Tikta rasa* was noted as *pradhana rasa* by 1 volunteer, first *anurasa* by 2 volunteers and 5 volunteers noted as second *anurasa*. By seeing the total scores of boiled

Table 1: Taste determination of fresh seeds of G. ula									
Rasa	Pradhana rasa 1st Anurasa 2nd Anurasa					Total			
KaSa	Volunteers	Score	Volunteers	Score	Volunteers	Score	Score		
Kashaya	5	x 4 = 20	4	x 2 = 8	1	x 1 = 1	29		
Madhura	4	x 4 = 16	2	x 2 = 4	1	x 1 = 1	21		
Tikta	1	x 4 = 4	2	x 4 = 8	3	x 1 = 3	15		

	Table 2: Taste determination of roasted seeds of G. ula									
Rasa	Rasa Pradhana rasa 1stAnurasa 2ndAnurasa						Total			
	Volunteers	Score	Volunteers	Volunteers Score Volunteers Score						
Tikta	5	x 4 = 20	4	x 2 = 8	1	x 1 = 1	29			
Madhura	2	x 4 = 8	4	x 2 = 8	0	0	16			
Kashaya	3	x 4 = 12	1	x 2 = 2	0	0	14			

Table 3: Taste determination of boiled seeds of G. ula									
Rasa	Pradhar	na rasa	1 st Anur	1 st Anurasa		2 nd Anurasa			
	Volunteers	Volunteers Score Volunteers Score Volunteers Score							
Kashaya	5	x 4 = 20	3	x 2 = 6	1	x 1 = 1	27		
Madhura	5	x 4 = 20	3	x 2 = 6	1	x 1 = 1	27		
Tikta	1	x 4 = 4	2	x 2 = 4	5	x 1 = 5	13		

seeds of G. ula, Kashaya, Madhura is Pradhana rasa, Anurasa is Tikta (Table 3).

3.1.4 Fresh seed kashayam

It was observed from the fresh seed kashaya of G. ula, kashaya rasa was noted as pradhana rasa by 5 volunteers, 5 volunteers noted as first anurasa and no volunteer noted second anurasa. Tikta rasa was noted as pradhana rasa by 5 volunteers, 4 volunteers noted as first anurasa and no volunteers noted second anurasa. Madhura rasa was not noted by any volunteers as pradhana and first anurasa. 2 volunteers noted as second anurasa. By seeing the total scores of kashaya of fresh seeds of G. ula, Pradhana rasa is Kashaya, Anurasa is Tikta, Madhura (Table 4).

3.1.5 Roasted seed kashayam

It was observed from the roasted seed kashayam of G. ula, madhura rasa was noted as pradhana rasa by 6 volunteers, 4 volunteers noted as first anurasa and no volunteer noted second anurasa. Kashaya rasa was noted as pradhana rasa by 2 volunteers, 5 volunteers noted as first anurasa and 3 volunteers noted as second anurasa. Tikta rasa was noted as pradhana rasa by 2 volunteers and as first anurasa by 1 volunteers, 3 volunteers noted as second anurasa. By seeing the total scores of Kashayam of roasted seeds of G. ula, Pradhana rasa is Madhura, Kashaya and Anurasa is Tikta (Table 5).

3.1.6 Assessment of rasa of seeds according to highest scores

By seeing all the 5 forms and overall assessment of total score of *rasa* and *anurasa* of *G. ula* it can be assessed as, *Pradhana rasa* is *Kashaya*, *Anu rasa* is *Madhura*, *Tikta* (Table 6).

3.2 Vipaka and Guna

The analysis of *vipaka* and *Guna* of a *Dravya* is assessed by the action of the drug administration in animal model. Absolute and relative values of food consumption, water intake, wet and dry faecal weight of the animal, urine output, food conversion ratio, body weight of the animal is statistically summarised.

3.2.1 Effect of on food consumption with data presented in absolute values

The data related to the effect of *G. ula* seed test formulation on food consumption on the basis of absolute value have been summarized (Table 7).

The data shows there was increase in food consumption in preliminary phase of *G. ula* seed group when compared to control group preliminary phase, the observed increase was found to be statistically non-significant. The data shows there was increase in food consumption in therapeutic phase of *G. ula* seed group when compared to control group therapeutic phase, the observed increase was found to be statistically non-significant.

Table 4: Taste determination of fresh seed Kashayam of G. ula									
Rasa	Pradhana	a rasa	1 st Anui	1 st Anurasa		2 nd Anurasa			
	Volunteers	Score	Volunteers	Score	Volunteers	Score	Score		
Kashaya	5	x 4 = 20	5	x 2 = 10	0	0	30		
Tikta	5	x 4 = 20	4	x 2 = 8	0	0	28		
Madhura	0	0	1	x 2 = 2	2	x 1 = 2	4		

Table 5: Taste determination of roasted seed Kashayam of G. ula									
Посо	Pradhana rasa 1 st Anurasa 2 nd Anurasa						Total		
Rasa	Volunteers	Score	Volunteers	Score	Volunteers	Score	Score		
Madhura	6	x 4 = 24	4	x 2 = 8	0	0	32		
Kashaya	2	x 4 = 8	5	x 2 = 10	3	x 1 = 3	21		
Tikta	2	x 4 = 8	1	x 2 = 2	3	X 1 = 3	13		

Table 6: F	Table 6: Rasa and Anurasa perceived in different forms of seed of G. ula							
Rasa	Different forms	Kashaya rasa	Madhura rasa	Tikta rasa				
Pradhana	Raw seeds	20	16	4				
rasa	Roasted seeds	12	8	20				
	Boiled seeds	16	20	4				
	Kashaya of fresh seeds	20	0	20				
	Kashaya of roasted seeds	8	24	8				
1 st Anurasa	Raw seeds	8	4	8				
	Roasted seeds	2	8	8				
	Boiled seeds	10	6	4				
	Kashaya of fresh seeds	10	2	8				
	Kashaya of roasted seeds	10	8	2				
2 nd Anurasa	Raw seeds	1	1	3				
	Roasted seeds	0	0	1				
	Boiled seeds	1	1	5				
	Kashaya of fresh seeds	0	2	0				
	Kashaya of roasted seeds	3	0	3				
	Total	121	100	98				

3.2.2 Effect of on food consumption with data presented in relative values

The data related to the effect of *G. ula* seed test formulation on Food consumption on the basis of relative value have been summarized (Table 8).

The data shows there was decrease in food consumption in preliminary phase of *G. ula* seed group when compared to control group preliminary phase, the observed decrease was

found to be statistically very significant. The data shows there was decrease in food consumption in therapeutic phase of *G. ula* seed group when compared to control group therapeutic phase, the observed decrease was found to be statistically very significant.

3.2.3 Effect on water consumption with data presented in terms of absolute values

The data related to the effect of *G. ula* seed test formulation on Water consumption on the basis of absolute value have been summarized (Table 9). The data shows there was increase in water consumption in preliminary phase of *G. ula* seed group when compared to control group preliminary phase, the observed increase was found to be statistically nonsignificant.

The data shows there was increase in water consumption in therapeutic phase of *G. ula* seed group when compared to control group therapeutic phase, the observed increase was found to be statistically very-significant.

The data shows there was increase in water consumption of therapeutic phase of *G. ula* seed when compared to preliminary phase of same group. The observed increase was found to be statistically significant.

3.2.4 Effect on water consumption with data presented in terms of relative values

The data related to the effect of *G. ula* seed test formulation on Water consumption on the basis of relative value have been summarized (Table 10). The data shows there was increase in water consumption in preliminary phase of *G. ula* seed group when compared to control group preliminary phase, the observed increase was found to be statistically non-significant.

The data shows there was increase in water consumption in therapeutic phase of *G. ula* seed group when compared to control group therapeutic phase, the observed increase was found to be statistically non-significant.

Table 7: Effect of G. ula seed on food consumption with data presented in terms of absolute values Food consumption in g (absolute values) Group **Preliminary Therapeutic** % change phase change phase 10.95 ± 0.62 Control 11.62 ± 0.37 G. ula seed 12.37 ± 0.68 6.45↑ 12.35 ± 0.57 12.78↑

Data: MEAN ± SEM

Table 8: Effect of <i>G. ula</i> seed on food consumption with data presented in terms of relative values								
	Food	consumption in	g/100 g body weigh	t				
Group	Preliminary phase	% change	Therapeutic phase	% change				
Control	6.69 ± 0.24	-	6.05 ± 0.23	-				
G. ula seed	5.18 ± 0.11	22.57↓	5.00 ± 0.009**	17.35↓				

Data: MEAN ± SEM, **P<0.01 compared with control

Table 9: Effect of <i>G. ula</i> seed on water consumption with data presented in terms of absolute values							
Group	Wate	r consumption	n in ml(absolute values)				
Preliminary % change Therapeutic % ch Phase Phase							
Control	20.33 ± 0.33	-	23.55 ± 1.50	-			
G. ula seed 29.83 ± 2.48 46.72↑ 34.87 ± 2.74** #							

Data: MEAN \pm SEM **P<0.01 compared with control #compared with preliminary phase

Table 10: Effect of <i>G. ula</i> seed on water consumption with data presented in terms of relative values								
	Water consumption in ml/100g body weight							
Group	Group Preliminary % change Therapeutic phase % change							
Control	Control 11.78 ± 0.31 - 13.06 ± 0.56 -							
G. ula seed	<i>ula</i> seed 12.48 ± 0.70 5.94↑ 14.08 ± 1.08 7.81↑							

Data: MEAN ± SEM

Table 11: Effect of <i>G. ula</i> seed on urine output with data presented in terms of absolute values								
Urine output in ml in (absolute values)								
Group	Preliminary phase	% change	Therapeutic phase	% change				
Control	Control 5.32 ± 0.61 - 4.93 ± 0.89 -							
G. ula seed 13.1 ± 1.73 146.24↑ 9.20 ± 2.07 86.61↑								

Data: MEAN ± SEM

3.2.5 Effect on urine output with data presented in terms of absolute values

The data related to the effect of *G. ula* seed test formulation on urine output on the basis of absolute value have been summarized (Table 11).

The data shows there was increase in urine output in preliminary phase of *G. ula* seed group when compared to control group preliminary phase, the observed increase was found to be statistically non-significant. The data shows there was increase in urine output in therapeutic phase of *G. ula* seed group when compared to control group therapeutic

phase, the observed increase was found to be statistically non-significant.

3.2.6 Effect on urine output with data presented in terms of relative values

The data related to the effect of *G. ula* seed test formulation on urine output on the basis of relative value have been summarized (Table 12).

The data shows there was increase in urine output in preliminary phase of *G. ula* seed group when compared to control group preliminary phase, the observed increase was found to be statistically non-significant. The data shows there was increase in urine output in therapeutic phase of *G. ula* seed group when compared to control group therapeutic phase, the observed increase was found to be statistically non-significant.

3.2.7 Effect on faecal wet with data presented in terms of absolute values

The data related to the effect of *G. ula* seed test formulation on faecal wet on the basis of absolute value have been summarized (Table 13)

The data shows there was decrease in faecal wet in preliminary phase of *G. ula* seed group when compared to control group preliminary phase, the observed decrease was found to be statistically non-significant. The data shows there was increase in faecal wet in therapeutic phase of *G. ula* seed group when compared to control group therapeutic phase, the observed increase was found to be statistically non-significant.

3.2.8 Effect on faecal wet with data presented in terms of relative values

The data related to the effect of *G. ula* seed test formulation on faecal wet the basis of relative value have been summarized (Table 14).

The data shows there was decrease in faecal wet in preliminary phase of *G. ula* seed group when compared to control group preliminary phase, the observed decrease was found to be statistically non-significant. The data shows there was decrease in faecal wet in therapeutic phase of *G. ula* seed group when compared to control group therapeutic phase, the observed decrease was found to be statistically non-significant.

3.2.9 Effect on faecal dry with data presented in terms of absolute values

The data related to the effect of *G. ula* seed test formulation on faecal dry on the basis of absolute value have been summarized (Table 15).

The data shows there was increase in faecal dry in preliminary phase of *G. ula* seed group when compared to control group preliminary phase, the observed increase was found to be statistically non-significant. The data shows there was increase in faecal dry in therapeutic phase of *G. ula* seed group when compared to control group therapeutic phase, the observed increase was found to be statistically non-significant.

3.2.10 Effect on faecal dry with data presented in terms of relative values

The data related to the effect of *G. ula* seed test formulation on faecal dry on the basis of relative value have been summarized (Table 16).

Table 12: Effect of <i>G. ula</i> seed on urine output with data presented in terms of relative values							
Group	Urin	e output in ml	/100g body weight				
Group	Preliminary phase	% change	Therapeutic phase	% change			
Control	3.04 ± 0.36 - 2.73 ± 0.5 -						
G. ula seed	G. ula seed 4.18 ± 0.46 37.5↑ 4.28 ± 0.56 56.77↑						

Data: MEAN \pm SEM

Table 13: Effect of <i>G. ula</i> seed on faecal wet with data presented in terms of absolute values							
Group	Faecal weight wet in g in absolute values						
	Preliminary phase	% change	Therapeutic phase	% change			
Control	5.13 ± 0.28 - 4.13 ± 0.40 -						
G. ula seed	ted 5.03 ± 0.43 1.94 ↓ 5.13 ± 0.29 24.21 ↑						

Data: MEAN <u>+</u> SEM

Table 14: Effect of <i>G. ula</i> seed on faecal wet with data presented in terms of relative values				
Group	Faecal weight wet in g/100 g body weight			
5.54	Preliminary phase	% change	Therapeutic phase	% change
Control	3.02 ± 0.18	-	2.34 ± 0.15	-
G. ula seed	2.09 ± 0.11	30.79↓	2.02 ± 0.05	13.67↓

Data: MEAN + SEM

The data shows there was decrease in faecal dry in preliminary phase of *G. ula* seed group when compared to control group preliminary phase, the observed decrease was found to be statistically non-significant. The data shows there was decrease in faecal dry in therapeutic phase of *G. ula* seed group when compared to control group therapeutic phase, the observed decrease was found to be statistically very significant.

3.2.11 Effect on faecal watery with data presented in terms of absolute values

The data related to the effect of *G. ula* seed test formulation on food conversion ratio on the basis of absolute value have been summarized (Table 17).

The data shows there was decrease in faecal watery in preliminary phase of *G. ula* seed group when compared to control group preliminary phase, the observed decrease was found to be statistically non-significant. The data shows there

was increase in faecal watery in therapeutic phase of *G. ula* seed group when compared to control group therapeutic phase, the observed increase was found to be statistically non-significant.

3.2.12 Effect on faecal watery with data presented in terms of relative values

The data related to the effect of *G. ula* seed test formulation on faecal watery on the basis of relative value have been summarized (Table 18).

The data shows there was decrease in faecal watery in preliminary phase of *G. ula* seed group when compared to control group preliminary phase, the observed decrease was found to be statistically non-significant. The data shows there was decrease in faecal watery in therapeutic phase of *G. ula* seed group when compared to control group therapeutic phase, the observed decrease was found to be statistically

Table 15: Effect of <i>G. ula</i> seed on faecal dry with data presented in terms of absolute values				
Group	Faecal weight dry in g (absolute values)			
Group	Preliminary phase	% change	Therapeutic phase	% change
Control	2.5 ± 0.09	-	2.27 ± 0.14	-
G. ula seed	2.78 ± 0.12	11.2↑	2.53 ± 0.15	11.45↑

Data: MEAN ± SEM

Table 16: Effect of <i>G. ula</i> seed on faecal dry with data presented in terms of relative values				
Group	Faecal weight dry in g/100 g body weight			
Group	Preliminary phase	% change	Therapeutic phase	% change
Control	1.4 ± 0.065	-	1.24 ± 0.052	-
G. ula seed	1.1 ± 0.05	↓23.28	1.02 ± 0.04**	17.74↓

Data: MEAN \pm SEM, **P<0.01 compared with control

Table 17: Effect of <i>G. ula</i> seed on faecal watery with data presented in terms of absolute values				
Group	Faecal watery in (absolute values)			
	Preliminary phase	% change	Therapeutic phase	% change
Control	2.6 ± 0.20	-	2.03 ± 0.27	-
G. ula seed	2.24 ± 0.36	13.84↓	2.57 ± 0.19	26.60↑

Data: MEAN ± SEM

Table 18: Effect of <i>G. ula</i> seed on faecal watery with data presented in terms of relative values				
Group	Faecal weight watery in g /100 g body weight			
	Preliminary phase	% change	Therapeutic phase	% change
Control	1.56 ± 0.12	-	1.09 ± 0.11	-
G. ula seed	1.50 ± 0.21	3.84↓	1.05 ± 0.05#	3.66↓

Data: MEAN ± SEM, #P<0.05 compared with preliminary phase

non-significant. The data shows there was decrease in faecal watery of therapeutic phase of *G. ula* seed when compared to preliminary phase of same group. The observed decrease was found to be statistically significant.

3.2.13 Effect on food conversion ratio with data presented in terms of absolute values

The data related to the effect of *G. ula* seed test formulation on food conversion ratio on the basis of absolute values have been summarized (Table 19).

The data shows there was increase in food conversion ratio in preliminary phase of *G. ula* seed group when compared to control group preliminary phase, the observed increase was found to be statistically non-significant. The data shows there was decrease in food conversion ratio in therapeutic phase of *G. ula* seed group when compared to control group therapeutic phase, the observed decrease was found to be statistically non-significant.

3.2.14 Effect on food conversion ratio with data presented in terms of relative values

The data related to the effect of *G. ula* seed test formulation on food conversion ratio on the basis of relative value have been summarized (Table 20).

The data shows there was increase in food conversion ratio in preliminary phase of *G. ula* seed group when compared to control group preliminary phase, the observed increase was found to be statistically non-significant. The data shows there was increase in food conversion ratio in therapeutic

phase of *G. ula* seed group when compared to control group therapeutic phase, the observed increase was found to be statistically non-significant.

3.2.15 Effect on bodyweight of rats

The data related to the effect of *G. ula* seed on percentage change in body weight have been summarized (Table 21).

The data shows there was decrease in percentage change in body weight in *G. ula* seed group when compared to control group, the observed decrease was found to be statistically non-significant.

3.3 Assessment of Virya

Endothermic and Exothermic reactions were noted for an hour, the thermometer shows 0.5°C increased in temperature after addition of sample drug.

4. DISCUSSION

In this study the Rasa panchaka assessment of seeds of G. ula was conducted with the help of available models. Rasa and anurasa of seeds of G. ula was analysed by the healthy volunteers in different forms like fresh seed, roasted seed powder, boiled seeds, kashaya of fresh seeds and roasted seeds. By seeing all 5 different forms, scoring was given and calculating the total scoring the overall rasa and anurasa of G. ula can be concluded as pradhana rasa is Kashaya and madhura, tikta is anu rasa.

Table 19: Effect of <i>G. ula</i> seed on food conversion ratio with data presented in terms of absolute values				
Group	Food conversion ratio (absolute values)			
	Preliminary phase	% change	Therapeutic phase	% change
Control	4.69 ± 0.09	-	4.89 ± 0.07	-
G. ula seed	4.76 ± 0.34	1.49↑	4.77 ± 0.08	2.45↓

Data: MEAN ± SEM

Table 20: Effect of <i>G. ula</i> seed on food conversion ratio with data presented in terms of relative values				
Craun	Food conversion ratio in g/100 g body weight			
Group	Preliminary phase	% change	Therapeutic phase	% change
Control	4.67 ± 0.09	-	4.9 ± 0.05	-
G. ula seed	4.97 ± 0.36	6.42↑	5.10 ± 0.09	4.08↑

Data: MEAN + SEM

Table 21: Effect of <i>G. ula</i> seed on bodyweight of rats				
Group	% changes in body weight Mean ± SEM	% Change		
Control	8.57 ± 2.30	-		
G. ula seed	4.50 ± 1.33	47.49↓		

Considering the physical parameters and the action of the drug in animals after administration is the analysis of guna. In the rat model after drug administration food intake was reduced when compared to control suggests it is having gurn guna, the faecal of the animals were dry and hard this may be due to ruksha guna, the urine output was observed dark in colour and with odour suggests that it is ushna, along with that water intake in the animals was very high suggests drug is of ruksha and ushna. All this suggests the drug possess of gurn, ushna and ruksha guna. And also, as per the experience of local people, it is considered as very ushna and not advised to take in large quantity because it may cause pittavrudhi, indigestion and diarrhoea. This suggests it is having guru guna so it is difficult for digestion. Pittavrudhi and diarrhoea suggests it is having ushna guna.

Virya was assessed by endothermic and exothermic reaction after assessing for an hour, there is 0.5°C rise in temperature after addition of drug so can be assessed its ushna virya. As per folk information it is said to be very ushna, so has to be consumed in limited quantity.

Vipaka is defined as the final transformation of substances after digestion. The commonly accepted number of rasa is six. Based on these rasas difference of opinion on vipaka also developed, but the accepted theory is trividha vipaka vada. The madhura and lavana rasa will be of madhura vipaka, amla rasa will have amla vipaka and katu, tikta, kashaya rasa will have katu vipaka. The action of vipaka takes place at the level of dosha, dhatu and mala. In this study as it is an animal model it is difficult to assess at dosha level. The weights of the animals were maintained, also observed badha vit and alpa mutrata so it can be taken as katu vipaka

As per the folk informers it is used as anthelmintic especially in children given in early morning in empty stomach due to tikta rasa it may act as krimighna, also if taken in excess it is pittakara may be because of ushna guna. Due to guru guna it is given as a nutritional supplement in children. Seed oil is used in rheumatism this may be due to ushna guna, tikta-kashaya rasa and katu vipaka. Over all Rasa panchaka profile of seeds of G. ula, Pradhana rasa is Kashaya, Anurasa is Madhura-Tikta, Guna is Guru, Ruksha, Ushna, Virya is Ushna and Vipaka is Katu.

5. CONCLUSION

Folklore science have tremendous source of information regarding the utility of locally available drug both as a food and as medicine in some ailments which have to be properly explored. It needs systematic documentation and measures to protect these valuable medicinal sources as they are in the edge of depletion. Acharyas opined to make use of the drug found in the vicinity after thorough examination and should be incorporated in Ayurvedic Pharmacopoeia. Ayurveda describes the properties of a drug on the basis of *rasa panchaka*, *rasa*, *guna*, *virya*, *vipaka* and *prabhava*. The mode of action can be explained in a better way with the help of *rasa panchakas*. So, while studying a new drug the assessment of *rasapanchaka* has an important role.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors are grateful to revered President, Dr. D. Veerendra Heggade, SDM Educational Society for constant encouragement. Authors are indebted to Mrs. Suchitra Kini and Mr. Puneeth, Research Officers of SDM Centre for Research in Ayurveda and Allied Sciences, Udupi for support.

SOURCE OF SUPPORT

Nil

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

CONTRIBUTORS

Dr. T. Shridhara Bairy did design of the work and provided valuable information, Mr. Sudhakar did true help in providing references and statistical assisting. Dr. K.N. Sunil Kumar and Dr. Ravi Krishna Aithal contributed to conceptualization of the topic and manuscript editing.

ABBREVIATIONS

GI tract: Gastro intestinal tract.

SUMMARY

Gnetum ula Brongn. a folk plant from Gymnosperm of Gnetaceae family present in and around Udupi, locally called as "kumti beeja". Folklore medicine has tremendous source of information regarding the utility of locally available plants as food and as medicines, the seeds of this plant are used for edible purpose either roasted or boiled as it is considered as very nutritious. Such plants have to be properly explored and scientifically documented before putting into use so this study has conducted and study revealed seeds of G. ula having, kashaya as pradhana rasa, anurasa is madhura -tikta, guna is guru, ruksha and ushna. Virya is ushna and vipaka is katu.

REFERENCES

- Sharma S. Commentary Shashilekha of Indu on Astanga Samgraha of Vaghbhata, Sutra Stana; 23 Chapter, 28-Verse. Varanasi: Chaukambha Sanskrit Series; 2011;182.
- Pushpangadan P, Atal CK. Ethnomedical and ethnobotonical investigations among some scheduled caste communities of Travancore, Kerala; India. J Ethnopharmacol. 1986;16(2-3):175-90.
- Wealth of India. Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi. 1956;4:158.
- Dutta AC. A Class Book of Botany, 17th Ed, revised by TC Dutta. Oxford University Press. 2012;465.
- Kirtikar KR, Basu BD. Indian Medicinal Plants, Dehradun: International Book Distributors. 2008;3:2374.
- Kumar SA, Vashishta PC. Botany for Degree Students Gymnosperms. S. Chand Publishing: 1976:475.
- Sharma PV. Introduction to Dravyaguna. 3th ed. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Orientalia; 2005;3.
- Dyani Shivcharan. Rasapanchaka. 2nd ed. Varanasi: Krishnadas Academy, 2003:66.88.96.115.